Biomaterials

Classes of biomaterial

Julian R Jones

Department of Materials

Imperial College London

Classes of biomaterial

• Bioinert



• Biodegradable





Sutures

Bioactive

Biomaterials

Joint replacements: Pluses and minuses

Julian R Jones

Department of Materials

Imperial College London

A hip joint



Cortical bone



Trabecular bone



Compressive strengths: trabecular bone 2-12 MPa cortical bone 100-230 MPa

Destructive or reconstructive?



Two types of THR

Cemented (original Charnley)







The Charnley hip prosthesis

Ball/head:

Acetabular Cup:

Cement:

Stem:

Cup backing and Stem surface treatment:

Cemented Total Hip Replacement



Clinical results for total hip replacements

The cemented low friction (Charnleytype) total hip arthroplasty (THA) using a metallic femoral component and UHMWPE cup has the highest level of clinical success. Predicted survival rates are:

5 years $99.41 \pm 0.02\%$

10 years 95.48 \pm 0.04%

15 years 83.12 \pm 0.18%

20 years 66.53 \pm 0.35%



Materials Selection: Cement: PMMA

- A cement works by starting as a solution and hardening
- Monomer polymerised to form a rigid polymer
- Two solutions mixed by surgeon, cures to form a hard rigid glassy but brittle polymer.
- In situ setting forms (cold curing) are used as bone cements.

CH₃ $[- CH_2 - C -]_n$ C=O

PMMA Bone cement

2-component system: powder and liquid mixed 2:1 Powder

PMMA spheres 30-150um (>90%) Radiopacifiers (BaSO₄) (4-10%) Initiator (benzoyl peroxide) (2-3%)

Liquid

MMA monomer (>95%)

Co-monomers (0%)

Inhibitor 50ppm

Activator (Dimethyl-p -toluidine) (2-3%)

Mix components together to a doughy stage
 Injected into prepared site, and allowed to cure
 The leading brand Simplex® has not changed significantly in 40 years

Implant/ tissue interface





Note the formation of a radiolucent layer as a result of fibrous capsule layer Formation and stress shielding that leads to failure.

Bioactive Coatings

- •Synthetic hydroxyapatite, $HA = Ca_{10}(PO_4)_6(OH)_2$
- •Ca:P ratio = 1.67
- Plasma sprayed onto metal
- Bonds to bone over time
- •~20 years clinical use
- •Any better than cement?

The real problems – Asceptic Loosening

- Stress Shielding: Overloading the implant-bone interface or shielding it from load transfer may result in bone resorption and subsequent loosening of the implant
- Wear: The articulating surfaces of the joint should function with minimum friction and produce the least amount of wear products
- Micromotion: The implant should be securely fixed to the body as early as possible (ideally immediately after implantation); however, removal of the implant should not require destruction of large amount of surrounding tissues as re-implantation maybe required

The effect of loading environment

High stress concentration or stress shielding may result in bone resorption around the implant. The metal implant has higher stiffness (Young's modulus) than bone (4-10x)



Bone Loss - Stress Shielding

- Wolff's Law (1869): "bone adapts (remodels) in response to the mechanical loads placed on it"
- Stiff implant changes mechanical loads on femur "modular mismatch".
 X-Rav

Load distribution without implant

X-Ray indicating bone loss



<u>Solution:</u> Make implant more flexible – less stiff, lower Young's modulus

Load distribution with implant

Component advantages and disadvantages

Metal alloys for femoral stem

Advantages

Disadvantages

Component advantages and disadvantages

Bone cement

Advantages

Disadvantages

Advantageous Properties of UHMWPE Cup

- Low friction and good sliding properties.
- Good impact strength.
- Very bioinert.
- Good cyclical fatigue resistance.

Disadvantages of UHMWPE Cup

- Poor wear resistance. (Good for a polymer but insufficient for joint replacement)
- Sterilisation by gamma irradiation lowers properties
- Difficult to process into shape

Wear particles

- Several hundred thousands of particles are generated with each step, and a large proportion of these particles are smaller than $1\mu m$.
- Cells from the immune system of the host are able to identify the particles as foreign and initiate a complex inflammatory response.
- The combination of wear and deterioration of the bone-implant interface can be rapid focal bone loss (osteolysis), bone resorption, loosening, and/or fracture of the bone. Wear particles cause the largest proportion of failed orthopaedic implants.

PE particles in the bone-implant interface



UHMWPE: Two Major Problems

- Wear rate of 15µm /year. This is negligible in terms of wearing out the joint. But the fine particulate wear debris produced cause an acute biological reaction.
- Wear debris generally migrates from the acetabulum down the cement bone interface and causes osteolytic lesions in the bone.
- Wear particles have also been associated with some cancers in tissues far removed from the site of implantation.

Component advantages and disadvantages

Ceramic ball and UHMW PE cup

Advantages

Disadvantages

Component advantages and disadvantages

Metal alloy ball and UHMW PE cup

Advantages

Disadvantages

Latest developments

- "Minimally invasive" surgery
- Metal on metal
- Ceramic on ceramic

The Birmingham Hip

Introduced in 1997, now in >60,000 patients in 26 countries.

Aim: to restore bone in younger patients so a THR can be used later



The Birmingham Hip

two-part system:

- 1. cobalt chrome alloy cap is placed over the resurfaced femoral ball.
- 2. A cobalt chrome alloy cup fits into the acetabulum.



Component advantages and disadvantages

Metal ball and metal cup

Advantages

Disadvantages

Advantages and disadvantages

Head Size

larger than the femoral head of a total hip replacement, translating to greater stability in the joint, decreasing the chance of dislocation.

- Long term success unknown
- Surgeons say a large head leads to great chance of dislocation
- Metal on metal ion release?

Ceramic on Ceramic

Alumina

slow crack growth that leads to failure with time in service

Zirconia (Yttria stabilised form)
600 000 femoral heads implanted worldwide
Yttria stabilises the tetragonal form on cooling

Ages – slow tetragonal to monoclinic phase transformation at the surface in humid environment, followed by embrittlement

Ceramic on Ceramic

Zirconia toughened alumina
 Zirconia phase transformation toughening
 Prevents crack propagation if well dispersed



- Biolox delta® (Ceramtec.com) = 25% zirconia in alumina. Toughness of 8.5 MPam^{1/2} and strength of 1150MPa
 - Nanoparticles of zirconia in an alumina matrix
- A.H. De Aza, J. Chevalier, G. Fantozzi, M. Schehl, R. Torrecillas, Biomaterials 23 (2002) 937–945

Knee prostheses



A surface replacement knee prostheses made from UHMWPE and Cobalt Chrome Alloys to provide a low-friction surface.

Reading

"Biomaterials, Artificial Organs and Tissue Engineering", Hench LL, Jones JR, Cambridge; Woodhead Publishing 2005

"New Materials and Technologies in Healthcare, Hench LL, Jones JR, MB Fenn, Imperial College Press, 2011



