## Letter Feedback Science and the Patient

Theme Leaders

Gareth Barnes
SpR Clinical Pharmacology

Mike Wilson Lecturer in Physiology

#### Your letter

There will be comments and highlighted sections from markers

These will help guide your summer submission

Overall the standard was good - we believe this will serve as useful preparation for the Summer writing task

# Where to find your letter

#### Imperial College London

#### Viewing your Feedback: In-Course Assessment

This document provides instructions on how to view feedback from markers for the in-course assessments that you submitted through Blackboard.

#### Viewing your Feedback

- 1. Go to <a href="http://bb.imperial.ac.uk">http://bb.imperial.ac.uk</a> and enter your login details
- Select the Blackboard course Year 2 Science and Patient.
- 3. Click on Learning Materials
- Click on the folder In-course Assessments
- Underneath the assignment portal that you wish to view feedback for, e.g. Letter Writing Task, click on the View/Complete link
- 6. Click on the View button (see figure 1 below).



Figure 1

- 7. A window will open with your original submission showing any feedback.
- 8. Simply scroll through the document. Wherever you see the feedback icon (see figure 2 below), hover your mouse pointer over the icon to view the feedback. There may be several of these annotated feedback posted throughout your submission.



Figure 2

9. To view your general overall feedback, simply look under **General Comments** on the right hand side of the window. If you do not see this, make sure you have selected the **General Comments** icon in the bottom right of the window (see figure 3 below).



## Comments - Structure

Intro, main points, conclusion

Many lacked paragraphs

No real structure and jumped around

Follow instructions - word count, deadlines etc....

#### Comments - Content

Identified key problems & main points

You must back up your claims with references

Many had sections that could have been considered plagiarism

Contradicted – often stating that the study was well designed initially

## Comments - Style

This was challenging for some

State concerns in dispassionate way

Libel in some cases

Remember you are trying to write in scientific style

## Comments - references

Please use appropriate sources - blogs, Wikipedia etc....are not appropriate

The Vidt study at least should have been referenced

The format is important – summer will have a set bibliography style that must be adhered to

## Comments - references

Format should be something like –

#### References

1. Vidt DG, White WB, Ridley E, et al. A forced titration study of antihypertensive efficacy of candesartan cilexitil in comparison to losartan: CLAIM study II. J Hum Hypertens. 2001; 15:475-480

Summary

Overall good

Structure your thoughts and argument

Style is important

Reference correctly - format and to back up your claims